

1 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
 Belinda S Lee (Bar No. 199635)
 2 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000
 San Francisco, CA 94111-6538
 3 Tel: +1.415.391.0600
 Email: *Belinda.Lee@lw.com*
 4 Keith L. Williams (Bar No. 314503)
 Devon A. Diggs (Bar No. 329380)
 5 10250 Constellation Blvd., Suite 1100
 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 6 Tel: +1.424.653.5500
 Email: *Keith.Williams@lw.com*
 7 *Devon.Diggs@lw.com*

8 INTERNATIONAL REFUGEE
 ASSISTANCE PROJECT
 9 Mariko Hirose (*pro hac vice*)
 Kathryn C. Meyer (*pro hac vice*)
 10 Deepa Alagesan (*pro hac vice*)
 One Battery Park Plaza, 4th Fl.
 11 New York, NY 10004
 Tel: +1.516.701.4620
 12 Email: *mhirose@refugeerights.org*
kmeyer@refugeerights.org
 13 *dalagesan@refugeerights.org*
 Melissa S. Keaney (Bar No. 265306)
 14 P.O. Box 2291
 Fair Oaks, CA 95628
 15 Tel: +1.916.546.6125
 Email: *mkeaney@refugeerights.org*

16 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs Does 1-4, 6-8*
 17 *and the Amended Claim 6 Class*

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 19 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 20 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

21 JANE DOE 1, et al.,
 22
 23 Plaintiffs,
 24 v.
 25 ALEJANDRO MAYORKAS, et al.,
 26 Defendants.

Case No. 5:18-cv-2349-BLF-VKD

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
 UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR FINAL
 APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT
 AND JUDGMENT**

~~PROPOSED~~ **ORDER AND JUDGMENT**

1
2 Plaintiff Does 6-8, on behalf of the Amended Claim 6 Class, have moved the Court for
3 final approval of a proposed class action settlement with Defendants Alejandro N. Mayorkas,
4 Tracy Renaud, Larry C. DeNayer, Antony Blinken, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, U.S.
5 Citizenship and Immigration Services (“USCIS”) and U.S. Department of State (collectively,
6 “Defendants”), the terms and conditions of which are set forth in the Joint Stipulation of
7 Settlement and Release (the “Settlement Agreement”) (Exhibit 1 to the Williams Declaration).

8 For the reasons described more fully below, the Court hereby **GRANTS** Plaintiff Does 6-
9 8’s Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Settlement, and orders the following:

10 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action, Defendants, and
11 the Claim 6 Class, defined as:

12 All Iranian refugees who (1) applied for refugee admission to the
13 United States under the Lautenberg Amendment, whether as a
14 principal applicant or derivative relatives; (2) traveled to Vienna,
15 Austria, for processing; and (3) received denials under SAO
16 security vetting conducted by the FBI after the change in SAO
17 vetting was implemented beginning January 1, 2016; and their
18 U.S.-based Close Family members who served as their U.S. ties.

19 ECF 463.

20 2. On December 9, 2021, the Court granted Preliminary Approval of the Settlement
21 Agreement. ECF 474.

22 3. The Court hereby finds that the Class Notice (Exhibit 2 to the Williams Decl.) and
23 the notice procedure as described in the Settlement Agreement: (1) meet the requirements of
24 Rule 23(e)(1) and due process; (2) constitute the best practicable notice under the circumstances
25 of this particular case, considering the nature, demographics, and geographic and financial
26 circumstances of the Claim 6 Class; (3) are reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to
27 apprise the Claim 6 Class Members of their right to object to the proposed Settlement
28 Agreement; and (4) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all those
entitled to receive notice.

4. The Court finds that this Settlement complies with the *Northern District of
California’s Procedural Guidance for Class Action Settlements* and hereby grants final approval

1 of the Settlement Agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate in all respects to the Claim 6
2 Class, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3 5. Accordingly, Plaintiff Does 6-8 and the Amended Claim 6 Class, and Defendants
4 are hereby ordered to implement all remaining terms of the Settlement Agreement. The
5 Defendants shall reopen and re-adjudicate the Class Members' Lautenberg refugee applications,
6 pursuant to and in the manner provided by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

7 6. The Court finds that Defendants have agreed to the payment of attorneys' fees
8 and costs.

9 7. The Court approves the payment of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$201,377.97
10 from Defendants to Plaintiffs' counsel at the International Refugee Assistance Project.

11 8. The Court additionally finds the attorneys' fees amount to be fair and reasonable
12 based upon a lodestar cross check. Plaintiffs' counsel set forth the hours spent and experience of
13 each attorney working on the case and her corresponding billable rate. The Court finds the rates
14 charged to be appropriate and reasonable in light of the experience of each attorney, and that the
15 hourly rates—as outlined in the United States Attorneys' Office – District of Columbia Fees
16 Matrix—are fair compared to prevailing market rates. The Court finds the hours expended to be
17 reasonable when compared with the time and effort put forth by Plaintiffs' counsel in
18 investigating, litigating, and resolving this case, as well as in light of the results achieved for the
19 Class Members with respect to injunctive relief.

20 9. The Court also approves the payment of attorney's costs in the amount of
21 \$12,427.65 from Defendants to Plaintiffs' counsel pursuant to U.S.C. § 2412 and U.S.C. § 504 *et*
22 *seq.*

23 10. The Court hereby enters judgment in this action between Plaintiffs and
24 Defendants.

25 11. Without affecting the finality of the Court's judgment in any way, this Court shall
26 retain jurisdiction over enforcement of the Settlement Agreement (ECF 478-2, Ex. 1), including
27 jurisdiction to resolve any dispute that arises regarding performance under this Settlement
28 Agreement. The dismissal of Claim 6 is conditional on the Court entering an Order retaining

1 such jurisdiction. Upon Defendants' report pursuant to the Settlement Agreement (Settlement
2 Agreement, ¶ 5), that all Claim 6 Plaintiff Class Members' cases have been reopened and re-
3 adjudicated, including determination of any Requests for Review, and/or closed pursuant to the
4 Settlement Agreement (Exhibit 1, paras. 1, 2, 3, 7), Defendants shall notify the Court of their
5 compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The Court's jurisdiction to enforce the
6 Settlement Agreement shall terminate automatically one (1) year following this notification.

7 12. This Court hereby dismisses this action with prejudice.

8 13. This document constitutes a judgment and separate document for the purposes of
9 Rule 58(a).

10 14. This document is instead of the parties jointly moving move for dismissal of this
11 action in the form of Exhibit E to the Settlement Agreement, per the language of paragraph 10 of
12 the Settlement Agreement.

13 15. The Clerk shall close this case.

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED.**

15
16 DATED: February 10, 2022


17 Hon. BETH LABSON FREEMAN
18 United States District Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28